# Stuck in the Past: How the Outdated HCAI System is Failing Healthcare Providers and Patients

An In-Depth Analysis of the HCAI System

## Introduction:

Imagine trying to use an outdated smartphone—its screen cracked, apps running slowly, and software so outdated that even the simplest tasks feel cumbersome. The frustration you experience when it fails to meet modern demands mirrors what healthcare professionals face every day using the outdated Health Claims for Auto Insurance (HCAI) system. While technology in other areas progresses, HCAI remains stuck in a time warp, with its inefficiencies continuing to frustrate providers. Unlike newer, more intuitive systems available today, HCAI's dated platform drags down healthcare professionals' ability to deliver timely care. This stark gap in efficiency highlights the pressing need for modernization.

In stark contrast, insurers' requests for improvements to HCAI are quickly addressed. As reported by Canadian Underwriter in 2012, when insurers raised concerns about difficulties reconciling health invoices, the Financial Services Commission of Ontario (FSCO) responded swiftly, making changes to the system to meet their needs. This disparity reveals a troubling pattern: while insurers seem to have a fast-track for changes, healthcare providers are left struggling with an outdated system that doesn't support their needs. For example, insurers' requests for mandatory fields are quickly implemented, yet healthcare providers' appeals, such as adding a signature line for invoices, go unaddressed.

# The HCAI System: An Administrative Bottleneck Hindering Healthcare Delivery

As someone deeply concerned with improving healthcare system efficiency, I view the current version of the Health Claims for Auto Insurance (HCAI) system as deeply flawed. HCAI, which was designed to simplify the management of auto insurance health claims, has instead become an administrative burden for providers. The system's complexities

create unnecessary red tape, diverting healthcare professionals' attention from their core mission—caring for patients. Not only does it increase the likelihood of human error, but it also delays payments and creates further administrative work to correct avoidable mistakes. These errors are often classified as compliance issues, further frustrating healthcare professionals who are already struggling with delayed payments.

Meanwhile, other organizations like the Workplace Safety and Insurance Board (WSIB) have adopted more efficient platforms such as TELUS Health's eClaims, demonstrating that HCAI's antiquated system is unnecessary. The contrast between these two systems illustrates the need for urgent reform in Ontario.

HCAI's inefficiencies impede patient care by limiting the information that can be transmitted. Unlike the TELUS eClaims portal, which allows providers to attach memos that include critical patient information, HCAI's rigid system often strips away important details. The reliance on coding in HCAI means that when a healthcare provider submits an invoice using a diagnostic code, the insurance adjuster only sees the code, not the detailed descriptions clinicians include. This disconnect between clinicians and adjusters prevents accurate assessments of treatment plans and creates a distorted view of patient needs, undermining the system's value.

Even though HCAI was created to handle health claims, it doesn't allow for attachments and lacks other basic functionalities that would streamline communication. In many ways, the system seems frozen in time, much like the Minor Injury Guideline (MIG) system that hasn't seen the promised review for 15 years. Healthcare providers are left waiting for improvements that never materialize.

## The Impact of Inefficiencies on Healthcare Providers

Healthcare providers dedicate their time and energy to delivering quality care. Yet, when systems like HCAI are riddled with inefficiencies, their ability to focus on patient care is severely compromised. The HCAI system is an example of this, with its convoluted forms, lack of user-friendly features, and inadequate communication tools.

Since its launch in the early 2000s, the HCAI system has seen little to no improvement, even as other sectors and healthcare platforms have evolved. This stagnation is particularly concerning when compared to more modern systems, such as TELUS Health eClaims, which offer a more streamlined, user-friendly experience.

# Complex, Redundant Forms: A Barrier to Efficiency

One of the major challenges with HCAI is the complexity and redundancy of its forms, particularly the OCF-18 (Treatment and Assessment Plan) and OCF-21 (Invoice). These forms require repetitive entry of information across multiple sections, creating unnecessary duplication and increasing the risk of errors. Healthcare providers spend valuable time filling out these forms, time that could be better spent on patient care.

The complexity of the forms is reflected in the extensive user manuals required to navigate them. For busy healthcare professionals, this is impractical and further detracts from patient care. Even minor submission errors can delay payments, creating additional stress for providers trying to manage their practices effectively.

#### Inadequate Communication and Feedback Loops

A significant shortcoming of the HCAI system is its failure to facilitate effective communication between healthcare providers and insurers. Often, insurers provide vague or generic responses to submitted claims, offering little guidance on why a claim was denied or modified. This lack of clarity forces providers to engage in a frustrating cycle of resubmitting forms without understanding what changes need to be made.

Despite being designed specifically for the healthcare sector, HCAI lacks basic functionalities like messaging systems or the ability to attach supporting documents. These limitations increase delays and administrative burdens for providers, who must resort to faxing or emailing crucial documentation separately, further complicating the process.

#### **Prioritizing Insurers Over Healthcare Providers**

One of the most frustrating aspects of HCAI is the system's rigidity when it comes to mandatory fields. While FSCO and FSRA have been quick to address insurers' requests for new fields to assist in reconciling invoices, they have consistently ignored healthcare providers' requests for critical changes, such as adding a signature line for invoices.

This imbalance between the needs of insurers and healthcare providers highlights a systemic issue in the management of the HCAI platform. While insurers' requests are prioritized, healthcare providers are left dealing with operational red tape and delays that ultimately impact patient care.

# A Long-Standing Problem with Invoicing Cycles

The frustration healthcare professionals experience with HCAI is not new. For years, they have requested changes to the invoicing cycle, asking regulators to allow monthly submissions instead of requiring invoices every 31 days. This discrepancy created significant operational difficulties for clinics, which struggled to adjust their invoicing cycles each month. After years of advocacy, FSCO finally amended the rule in 2014, allowing for more consistent monthly submissions. However, this change only came after significant strain on providers.

Unfortunately, FSRA has inherited this same tendency to overlook healthcare providers' concerns. Despite replacing FSCO, the issues faced by clinics and the patients they serve have not been adequately addressed.

## HCAI's Failure to Accept Attachments: A Major Oversight

In the digital age, the inability of HCAI to accept attachments is a glaring flaw. Supporting documents like diagnostic reports and specialist evaluations are essential for substantiating treatment plans. Yet, HCAI forces healthcare providers to send these documents separately, fragmenting the process and increasing the likelihood of miscommunication and lost paperwork.

This oversight is particularly concerning for a platform specifically designed to handle healthcare claims. Without the ability to centralize all relevant information, the system's inefficiencies are compounded, making an already cumbersome process even more difficult.

#### **TELUS Health eClaims: A Better Alternative**

In contrast to HCAI, TELUS Health's eClaims portal offers a more efficient, user-friendly system for processing claims. It allows healthcare providers to submit detailed memos and attach critical documentation, keeping everything within a single platform. This system greatly reduces the risk of miscommunication and ensures that important details aren't lost in translation.

The comparison between TELUS Health's platform and HCAI highlights the potential for improvement. A more streamlined, efficient system is not only possible but already in use within the industry.

## A Call for Reform and FSRA's Role

In light of these challenges, FSRA must take immediate action to overhaul the HCAI system. Recent announcements from FSRA about reviewing various guidelines and systems, including HCAI, provide an opportunity to push for meaningful change.

However, FSRA must also prioritize its resources effectively. Redirecting focus to unregulated areas like tow truck operators and auto body shops—both of which are central to the auto insurance process but currently operate without oversight—would help ensure a more comprehensive approach to regulation.

## **Recommendations for a Modernized HCAI System**

To transform HCAI into a platform that supports healthcare providers and patients, several key changes are necessary:

1. Streamline Forms and Processes: Eliminate redundancy and simplify the forms to reduce administrative burden. Features like autofill and real-time error checking would help minimize mistakes and expedite submissions.

2. Enhance Communication Features: Integrate a messaging system within HCAI to facilitate direct communication between providers and insurers, reducing delays caused by unclear feedback.

3. Allow Document Attachments: Enable providers to attach supporting documents like diagnostic reports directly within the system, centralizing information and streamlining the claims process.

4. Introduce Real-Time Issue Resolution: Adopt real-time feedback systems similar to those used by TELUS Health, allowing providers to quickly resolve issues.

5. Provide Clear Adjudication Feedback: Offer detailed explanations for claim decisions to help providers understand what changes are needed without unnecessary back-and-forth.

6. Automate Recurring Claims: Enable automation for recurring claims to reduce the time spent on ongoing treatment submissions.

Conclusion: Time for Change

The current state of the HCAI system is untenable. It burdens healthcare providers with excessive administrative tasks and detracts from their ability to deliver quality